

Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 21-22) - Summary
Filed for the July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022 Period

Successor Agency: La Mesa
County: San Diego

Current Period Requested Funding for Enforceable Obligations (ROPS Detail)	21-22A Total (July - December)	21-22B Total (January - June)	ROPS 21-22 Total
A Enforceable Obligations Funded as Follows (B+C+D)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
B Bond Proceeds	-	-	-
C Reserve Balance	-	-	-
D Other Funds	-	-	-
E Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) (F+G)	\$ 1,599,140	\$ 125,836	\$ 1,724,976
F RPTTF	1,524,260	50,956	1,575,216
G Administrative RPTTF	74,880	74,880	149,760
H Current Period Enforceable Obligations (A+E)	\$ 1,599,140	\$ 125,836	\$ 1,724,976

Certification of Oversight Board Chairman:

Pursuant to Section 34177 (o) of the Health and Safety code, I hereby certify that the above is a true and accurate Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the above named successor agency.

Name Title

/s/ _____
Signature Date

La Mesa
Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 21-22) - ROPS Detail
July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
Item #	Project Name	Obligation Type	Agreement Execution Date	Agreement Termination Date	Payee	Description	Project Area	Total Outstanding Obligation	Retired	ROPS 21-22 Total	ROPS 21-22A (Jul - Dec)					21-22A Total	ROPS 21-22B (Jan - Jun)					21-22B Total
											Fund Sources						Fund Sources					
											Bond Proceeds	Reserve Balance	Other Funds	RPTTF	Admin RPTTF		Bond Proceeds	Reserve Balance	Other Funds	RPTTF	Admin RPTTF	
								\$8,413,672		\$1,724,976	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$1,524,260	\$74,880	\$1,599,140	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$50,956	\$74,880	\$125,836
3	AD98-1 Limited Obligation Bonds	Bonds Issued On or Before 12/31/10	06/24/1998	09/30/2023	US Bank	AD98-1 Limited Obligation Bonds (Principal & Interest)- Enforceable Obligation per HSC 34171(d)(1)(A) and 34171(d)(1)(E) - See Notes for additional information	Alvarado Creek	1,518,193	N	\$507,562	-	-	-	480,106	-	\$480,106	-	-	-	27,456	-	\$27,456
5	Police Station Site Loan Repayment per HSC34191.4(b)	City/County Loan (Prior 06/28/11), Property transaction	11/25/2008	06/30/2022	City of La Mesa	Police Station Land Purchase -Enforceable Obligation per HSC 34191.4(b); OB Resolution No. OB 2017-04 finding loan was made for legitimate redevelopment purposes and approving repayment	Fletcher Pkwy	5,300,000	N	\$-	-	-	-	-	-	\$-	-	-	-	-	-	\$-
11	AD98-1 Bond Fiscal Agent Fees	Fees	06/24/1998	09/30/2023	US Bank	Fiscal Agent fees as required by bond issuance- Enforceable Obligation per HSC 34171(d)(1)(A) and 34171(d)(1)(E)	Alvarado Creek	12,835	N	\$2,900	-	-	-	-	-	\$-	-	-	-	2,900	-	\$2,900
12	AD98-1 Annual	Fees	06/24/	09/30/2023	Stradling,	Annual	Alvarado	3,310	N	\$600	-	-	-	-	-	\$-	-	-	-	600	-	\$600

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
Item #	Project Name	Obligation Type	Agreement Execution Date	Agreement Termination Date	Payee	Description	Project Area	Total Outstanding Obligation	Retired	ROPS 21-22 Total	ROPS 21-22A (Jul - Dec)					21-22A Total	ROPS 21-22B (Jan - Jun)					21-22B Total
											Fund Sources						Fund Sources					
											Bond Proceeds	Reserve Balance	Other Funds	RPTTF	Admin RPTTF		Bond Proceeds	Reserve Balance	Other Funds	RPTTF	Admin RPTTF	
	Disclosure Fees		1998		Yocca, Carlson	Disclosure Fees as required by bond issuance- Enforceable Obligation per HSC 34171(d)(1)(A) and 34171(d)(1)(E)	Creek															
15	Administrative Cost Allowance	Admin Costs	07/01/2021	06/30/2022	Various	Administrative Cost Allowance under AB1X26 - See Oversight Board Resolution approving the Administrative Budget and Administrative Cost Allowance	n/a	149,760	N	\$149,760	-	-	-	-	74,880	\$74,880	-	-	-	-	74,880	\$74,880
17	Defense of Affordable Housing Coalition Lawsuit	Litigation	07/30/2013	06/30/2022	Kane, Ballmer & Berkman/ SA	Legal Defense of Affordable Housing Coalition's lawsuit- Enforceable Obligation per HSC 34171(b) and 34171(d)(1)(F)	Central/ Fletcher/ Alvarado Creek	40,000	N	\$40,000	-	-	-	20,000	-	\$20,000	-	-	-	20,000	-	\$20,000
20	Deferred Housing Set-Aside	Miscellaneous	06/30/1994	06/30/2037	La Mesa Housing Successor Agency Asset Fund (Low/Mod Income Hsng Asset Fund)	Deferred Set Aside amounts owed to Central Project Area to be paid from RPTTF - Enforceable Obligation per HSC 34171(d)(1)(G) and HSC 34176(e)(6)	Central	1,386,574	N	\$1,021,154	-	-	-	1,021,154	-	\$1,021,154	-	-	-	-	-	\$-

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	
Item #	Project Name	Obligation Type	Agreement Execution Date	Agreement Termination Date	Payee	Description	Project Area	Total Outstanding Obligation	Retired	ROPS 21-22 Total	ROPS 21-22A (Jul - Dec)					21-22A Total	ROPS 21-22B (Jan - Jun)					21-22B Total	
											Fund Sources						Fund Sources						
											Bond Proceeds	Reserve Balance	Other Funds	RPTTF	Admin RPTTF		Bond Proceeds	Reserve Balance	Other Funds	RPTTF	Admin RPTTF		
21	Contract for Auditing Services	Professional Services	05/23/2016	06/30/2022	Rogers, Anderson, Malody & Scott	Auditing Services- Enforceable Obligation per HSC 34171(d)(1)(C), 34177(n) and 34171(d)(1)(F)	Central/ Fletcher/ Alvarado Creek	3,000	N	\$3,000	-	-	-	3,000	-	\$3,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$-

La Mesa
Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 21-22) - Report of Cash Balances
July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2019
(Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34177 (l), Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) may be listed as a source of payment on the ROPS, but only to the extent no other funding source is available or when payment from property tax revenues is required by an enforceable obligation.							
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
		Fund Sources					
		Bond Proceeds		Reserve Balance	Other Funds	RPTTF	
	ROPS 18-19 Cash Balances (07/01/18 - 06/30/19)	Bonds issued on or before 12/31/10	Bonds issued on or after 01/01/11	Prior ROPS RPTTF and Reserve Balances retained for future period(s)	Rent, grants, interest, etc.	Non-Admin and Admin	Comments
1	Beginning Available Cash Balance (Actual 07/01/18) RPTTF amount should exclude "A" period distribution amount.	522,450		608,399	5,024		C1: Amount held by Fiscal Agent per bond covenant. E1: \$339,497 PPA (ROPS 15-16) and reserve balance per ROPS 18-19 DOF Determination letter dated 3/20/2018, PLUS \$145,303 PPA (ROPS 16-17) per ROPS 19-20 DOF Determination letter dated 3/20/2019 PLUS \$123,599 PPA (ROPS 17-18) per DOF Determination letter dated 3/27/20. F1: Interest held by trustee.
2	Revenue/Income (Actual 06/30/19) RPTTF amount should tie to the ROPS 18-19 total distribution from the County Auditor-Controller					1,005,090	G2: ROPS 18-19A/B RPTTF Distributions per DOF Determination letter dated 3/20/2018 and paid by County Auditor-Controller.
3	Expenditures for ROPS 18-19 Enforceable Obligations (Actual 06/30/19)			339,496	5,024	868,810	E3: \$339,497 PPA (ROPS 15-16) and reserve balance per ROPS 18-19 DOF Determination letter dated 3/20/2018 allocated by DOF to pay Item 3 on ROPS 18-19 and reduce ROPS 18-19 RPTTF Distribution. F3: Interest applied by trustee to debt service payment.

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34177 (l), Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) may be listed as a source of payment on the ROPS, but only to the extent no other funding source is available or when payment from property tax revenues is required by an enforceable obligation.

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	
		Fund Sources						
		Bond Proceeds		Reserve Balance	Other Funds	RPTTF		
	ROPS 18-19 Cash Balances (07/01/18 - 06/30/19)	Bonds issued on or before 12/31/10	Bonds issued on or after 01/01/11	Prior ROPS RPTTF and Reserve Balances retained for future period(s)	Rent, grants, interest, etc.	Non-Admin and Admin	Comments	
							G3: Expenditures per Audit Trail for FY 2018-2019.	
4	Retention of Available Cash Balance (Actual 06/30/19) RPTTF amount retained should only include the amounts distributed as reserve for future period(s)	522,450		268,903			C4: Amount held in reserve by fiscal agent per bond covenant. E4: \$145,303 Prior Period Adjustment (ROPS 16-17) per ROPS 19-20 DOF Determination letter dated 3/20/2019 allocated by DOF to reduce the ROPS 19-20A RPTTF Distribution plus \$123,599 17-18 PPA.	
5	ROPS 18-19 RPTTF Prior Period Adjustment RPTTF amount should tie to the Agency's ROPS 18-19 PPA form submitted to the CAC		No entry required				136,279	G5: \$136,279 should be the reported Prior Period Adjustment for the ROPS 18-19. (\$132,515 was originally submitted. Amount should include -\$1,260 for items missed when reporting the ROPS 18-19 PPA to the County plus \$5,024 for accumulated interest used to reduce bond payment not accounted for in PPA.)
6	Ending Actual Available Cash Balance (06/30/19) C to F = (1 + 2 - 3 - 4), G = (1 + 2 - 3 - 4 - 5)	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$1		

La Mesa
Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 21-22) - Notes
July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022

Item #	Notes/Comments
3	
5	On Dec. 7, 2017, the OB approved OB Resolution OB 2017-04 finding that per Section 34191.4(b)(1) of the Dissolution Law the loan provided by the City to the former RDA was made for legitimate redevelopment purposes, approving the loan as an enforceable obligation of the SA, approving repayment of the remaining principal balance of \$6,000,000 of the original Purchase Price from RPTTF as an enforceable obligation to be listed on successive ROPS, and authorizing and directing the Executive Director, or designee, of the SA to include the repayment of the remaining principal balance of \$6,000,000 of the Purchase Price on successive ROPS until the loan is repaid in full. On Jan. 23, 2018, DOF issued its Determination, finding the loan to be partially approved for an outstanding principal balance of \$5,300,000. Once the Deferrals listed in Item 20 on the ROPS are repaid, the SA will seek repayment of this Item 5 on the ROPS with a total debt obligation of \$5,300,000 until paid in full.
11	
12	
15	
17	Funds required for defense of the Affordable Housing Coalition of San Diego County v. City of La Mesa as Successor Agency to La Mesa Community Redevelopment Agency et al. Litigation costs due to the filing of a lawsuit by the Affordable Housing Coalition of San Diego County against several successor agencies alleging that unmet obligations of the former RDA pursuant to the California Community Redevelopment Law constitute an enforceable obligation of the SA payable from RPTTF. Costs relating to potential and pending litigation in connection with assets or obligations constitute an enforceable obligation of the SA and shall be payable from RPTTF funds, not as an administrative cost, pursuant to HSC Section 34171(b) and 34171(d)(1)(F) of the Dissolution Law.
20	On Dec. 7, 2017, the OB approved OB Resolution OB 2017-03 approving per Sections 34171(d)(1)(G) & 34176(e)(6) of the Dissolution Law payment of LMIHF Deferrals totaling \$3,148,381 as an enforceable obligation and authorizing inclusion of the Deferrals on the ROPS, beginning with ROPS 18-19. The OB approved the Repayment Schedule for the first payment of Deferrals on ROPS 18-19. On Jan. 23, 2018, DOF issued its Determination approving the Repayment Schedule for the Deferrals, and also approving the SA to place requests for repayment on the upcoming and future ROPS until the obligation is paid in full per Sections 34176(e)(6) & 34191.4(b). For this ROPS 21-22, as listed in Item 20, the SA estimates the permissible RPTTF payment amount of \$1,021,154, calculated per the Dissolution Law as the 50% increase in the residual RPTTF amounts paid to the ATEs in FY 2012-2013 of \$2,083,973 and the residual RPTTF amounts estimated to be paid to the ATEs in the current FY 2020-2021 of \$4,126,280.
21	